Lecture 6: Congruences, Part II

William Stein

Math 124 Harvard University Fall 2001

Key Ideas Today

- Wilson's theorem
- Chinese Remainder Theorem
- Multiplicativity of φ

1 Wilson's Theorem

Theorem 1.1 (John Wilson's theorem, from the 1770s). An integer p > 1 is prime if and only if

$$(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

Example 1.2.

p=3

%1 = 3

? (p-1)! % 3

 $\frac{1}{2} = 2$

p=17

%3 = 17

? (p-1)!

%4 = 20922789888000

? (p-1)! % p

%5 = 16

Proof. We first **assume that** p **is prime** and prove that $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. If $a \in \{1, 2, ..., p-1\}$ then the equation

$$ax \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

has a unique solution $a' \in \{1, 2, ..., p-1\}$. If a = a', then $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, so $p \mid a^2 - 1 = (a-1)(a+1)$, so $p \mid (a-1)$ or $p \mid (a+1)$, so $a \in \{1, -1\}$. We can thus pair off the elements of $\{2, 3, ..., p-2\}$, each with its inverse. Thus

$$2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot (p-2) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$
.

Multiplying both sides by p-1 proves that $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

Next we **assume that** $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ and prove that p must be prime. Suppose not, so that p is a composite number ≥ 4 . Let ℓ be a prime divisor of p. Then $\ell < p$, so $\ell \mid (p-1)!$. Also,

$$\ell \mid p \mid ((p-1)! - 1).$$

This is a contradiction, because a prime can't divide a number a and also divide a-1, since it would then have to divide a-(a-1)=1.

Example 1.3. When p = 17, we have

$$2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot 15 = (2 \cdot 9) \cdot (3 \cdot 6) \cdot (4 \cdot 13) \cdot (5 \cdot 7) \cdot (8 \cdot 15) \cdot (10 \cdot 12) \cdot (14 \cdot 11) \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$$

where we have paired up the numbers a, b for which $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$.

Let's test Wilson's Theorem in PARI:

```
? wilson(n) = Mod((n-1)!,n) == Mod(-1,n)
? wilson(5)
%9 = 1
? wilson(10)
%10 = 0
? wilson(389)
%11 = 1
? wilson(2001)
%12 = 0
```

Warning: In practice, this is a horribly inefficient way to check whether or not a number is prime.

2 The Chinese Remainder Theorem

Sun Tsu Suan-Ching (4th century AD):

There are certain things whose number is unknown. Repeatedly divided by 3, the remainder is 2; by 5 the remainder is 3; and by 7 the remainder is 2. What will be the number?

In modern notation, Sun is asking us to solve the following system of equations:

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

 $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$
 $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$

The Chinese Remainder Theorem asserts that a solution to Sun's question exists, and the proof gives a method to find a solution.

Theorem 2.1 (The Chinese Remainder Theorem). Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that gcd(n, m) = 1. Then there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$x \equiv a \pmod{m}$$
$$x \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

Proof. The equation

$$tm \equiv b - a \pmod{n}$$

has a solution t since gcd(m, n) = 1. Set x = a + tm. We next verify that x is a solution to the two equations. Then

$$x \equiv a + (b - a) \equiv b \pmod{n},$$

and

$$x = a + tm \equiv a \pmod{m}$$
.

Now we can solve Sun's problem:

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

 $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$
 $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$.

First, we use the theorem to find a solution to the pair of equations

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

 $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$.

Set a=2, b=3, m=3, n=5. Step 1 is to find a solution to $t \cdot 3 \equiv 3-2 \pmod{5}$. A solution is t=2. Then $x=a+tm=2+2\cdot 3=8$. Since any x' with $x'\equiv x \pmod{15}$ is also a solution to those two equations, we can solve all three equations by finding a solution to the pair of equations

$$x \equiv 8 \pmod{15}$$

 $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$.

Again, we find a solution to $t \cdot 15 \equiv 2 - 8 \pmod{7}$. A solution is t = 1, so

$$x = a + tm = 8 + 15 = 23$$
.

Note that there are other solutions. Any $x' \equiv x \pmod{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}$ is also a solution; e.g., $23 + 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 128$.

We can also solve Sun's problem in PARI:

```
? chinese(Mod(2,3),Mod(3,5))
%13 = Mod(8, 15)
? chinese(Mod(8,15),Mod(2,7))
%14 = Mod(23, 105)
```

3 Multiplicative Functions

Definition 3.1. A function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is multiplicative if, whenever $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and gcd(m, n) = 1, we have

$$f(mn) = f(m) \cdot f(n).$$

Recall that the Euler φ -function is

$$\varphi(n) = \#\{a : 1 \le a \le n \text{ and } \gcd(a, n) = 1\}.$$

Proposition 3.2. φ is a multiplicative function.

Proof. Suppose that $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and gcd(m, n) = 1. Consider the map

$$\{c: 1 \le c \le mn \text{ and } \gcd(c, mn) = 1\} \xrightarrow{f}$$
$$\{a: 1 \le a \le m \text{ and } \gcd(a, m) = 1\} \times \{b: 1 \le b \le n \text{ and } \gcd(b, n) = 1\}\}$$

defined by

$$f(c) = (c \mod m, c \mod n).$$

The map f is injective: If f(c) = f(c'), then $m \mid c - c'$ and $n \mid c - c'$, so, since gcd(n, m) = 1, $nm \mid c - c'$, so c = c'.

The map f is surjective: Given a, b with gcd(a, m) = 1, gcd(b, n) = 1, the Chinese Remainder Theorem implies that there exists c with $c \equiv a \pmod{m}$ and $c \equiv b \pmod{n}$. We may assume that $1 \leq c \leq nm$, and $c \equiv b \pmod{n}$ we must have gcd(c, nm) = 1. Thus f(c) = (a, b).

Because f is a bijection, the set on the left has the same size as the product set on the right. Thus

$$\varphi(mn) = \varphi(m) \cdot \varphi(n).$$

Example 3.3. The proposition makes it easier to compute $\varphi(n)$. For example,

$$\varphi(12) = \varphi(2^2) \cdot \varphi(3) = 2 \cdot 2 = 4.$$

Also, for $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\varphi(p^n) = p^n - \frac{p^n}{p},$$

since $\varphi(p^n)$ is the number of numbers less than p^n minus the number of those that are divisible by p. Thus, e.g.,

$$\varphi(389 \cdot 11^2) = 388 \cdot (11^2 - 11) = 388 \cdot 110 = 42680.$$

The φ function is also available in PARI:

Question 3.4. Is computing $\varphi(1000 \text{ digit number})$ really easy or really hard?